	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/676,079	PECKER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	DiBrino Marianne	1644
All Participants:	Status of Application:	<u>Allowed</u>
(1) <u>DiBrino Marianne</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Castorina, Anthony</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 7 May 2007	Time: <u>11:30 A.M.</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No	ant's representative)	
If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.	·	
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: Prior art documents discussed:		
Phor art documents discussed.	÷	
Part II.		•
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT V	VAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa 	ne examiner will provide a ware record of the substance of	ritten summary of the substance the interview
•		
Mariane Din		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applican	t/Applicant's Representative	e Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: On 7th May 2007, the Examiner left a voicemail for Mr. Castorina concerning Figures 5, 14 and 15. Figures 5, 14 and 15 have handwritten changes.

On 16th April 2007, the Examiner left a message for Mr. Castorina that three new sets of drawings were required as Figures 5, 14 and 15 have handwritten changes.